

Other Orders/Judgments1:26-cv-03343-ELR *SEALED*Logaro LLC et al v. Bluxpant Co.,
Ltd et al

4months,ELRLC3,SUBMDJ,TRO

U.S. District Court**Northern District of Georgia****Notice of Electronic Filing**

The following transaction was entered on 9/16/2026 at 11:18 AM EDT and filed on 9/16/2026

Case Name: Logaro LLC et al v. Bluxpant Co., Ltd et al**Case Number:** 1:26-cv-03343-ELR *SEALED***Filer:****Document Number:** 22**Docket Text:**

ORDER: The PI Hearing was held on September 16, 2026, at 11:00 a.m., and Defendants failed to appear. Accordingly, Defendants have failed to show good cause as to why a preliminary injunction should not issue. Thus, the Court GRANTS Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction as to Defendants. Doc. [3]. The Court ENTERS a preliminary injunction on the same terms as the Court's earlier TRO, see Doc. [16], and DISSOLVES the TRO. Signed by Judge Eleanor L. Ross on 09/16/2026. (ajw)

1:26-cv-03343-ELR *SEALED* No electronic public notice will be sent because the case/entry is sealed.

The following document(s) are associated with this transaction:

Document description:Main Document**Original filename:**n/a**Electronic document Stamp:**

[STAMP dcecfStamp_ID=1060868753 [Date=9/16/2026] [FileNumber=16080426-0] [90d04f5ab5a5562573a0a2602bbd534d9a5d96c2aa04e3578919be4cd0ef6cae94d30886317daa5721899b42b91994d4bd9dcc15fa6aab01cf943ac8c7d53ba2]]

**IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF GEORGIA
ATLANTA DIVISION**

LOGARO LLC,

Plaintiff,

v.

BLUXPANT CO., LTD, et al.,

Defendants.

*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*
*

1:26-CV-03343-ELR

ORDER

This cause comes before the Court following a hearing (the “PI Hearing”) on Plaintiff’s motion for a preliminary injunction. [Doc. 3]. The Court previously entered a Temporary Restraining Order (TRO) against Defendants on August 19, 2026.¹ [Doc. 16]. The Court also authorized Plaintiff to serve Defendants by electronic means. [Doc. 17]. During the PI Hearing, Plaintiff’s Counsel represented that on September 2, 2026, Plaintiff served the Complaint, Summonses, TRO, and other Orders in this action on Defendants.²

¹ The Court extended the TRO and reset the PI Hearing for September 16, 2026. [See Doc. 19].

² Plaintiff filed proofs of service on September 2, 2026, showing that it served all Defendants on September 2, 2026. [Doc. 21].

In conjunction with the TRO, the Court ordered Defendants to appear at the PI Hearing to show cause why a preliminary injunction should not issue. [Docs. 16 at 7–8; 19]. The Court cautioned that Defendants' failure to appear at the PI Hearing may result in the imposition of a preliminary injunction against them. [Doc. 16 at 8]. The PI Hearing was held on September 16, 2026, at 11:00 a.m., and Defendants failed to appear. Accordingly, Defendants have failed to show good cause as to why a preliminary injunction should not issue. Thus, the Court **GRANTS** Plaintiff's motion for preliminary injunction as to Defendants. [Doc. 3]. The Court **ENTERS** a preliminary injunction on the same terms as the Court's earlier TRO, [see Doc. 16], and **DISSOLVES** the TRO.

SO ORDERED, this 16th day of September, 2026.



Eleanor L. Ross
United States District Judge
Northern District of Georgia